

Amendment Z (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a change to the manner in which state senate and state house of representatives districts are drawn, and, in connection therewith, reforming the existing legislative reapportionment commission by expanding the commission to twelve members and authorizing the appointment of members who possess specified qualifications; prohibiting any one political party's control of the commission by requiring that one-third of commissioners will not be affiliated with any political party, one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's largest political party, and one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's second largest political party; prohibiting certain persons, including professional lobbyists, federal campaign committee employees, and federal, state, and local elected officials, from serving on the commission; limiting judicial review of a map to a determination by the supreme court of whether the commission or its nonpartisan staff committed an abuse of discretion; requiring the commission to draw state legislative districts using communities of interest as well as political subdivisions, such as cities and counties, and then to maximize the number of competitive state legislative seats to the extent possible; and prohibiting maps from being drawn to dilute the electoral influence of any racial or ethnic group or to protect any incumbent, any political candidate, or any political party?

YES/FOR NO/AGAINST

Amendment A (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution that prohibits slavery and involuntary servitude as punishment for a crime and thereby prohibits slavery and involuntary servitude in all circumstances?

YES/FOR NO/AGAINST

Amendment 73 (CONSTITUTIONAL)

SHALL STATE TAXES BE INCREASED \$1,600,000,000 ANNUALLY BY AN AMENDMENT TO THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION AND A CHANGE TO THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES CONCERNING FUNDING RELATING TO PRESCHOOL THROUGH HIGH SCHOOL PUBLIC EDUCATION, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, CREATING AN EXCEPTION TO THE SINGLE RATE STATE INCOME TAX FOR REVENUE THAT IS DEDICATED TO THE FUNDING OF PUBLIC SCHOOLS; INCREASING INCOME TAX RATES INCREMENTALLY FOR INDIVIDUALS, TRUSTS, AND ESTATES USING FOUR TAX BRACKETS STARTING AT .37% FOR INCOME ABOVE \$150,000 AND INCREASING TO 3.62% FOR INCOME ABOVE \$500,000; INCREASING THE CORPORATE INCOME TAX RATE BY 1.37%; FOR PURPOSES OF SCHOOL DISTRICT PROPERTY TAXES, REDUCING THE CURRENT RESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT RATE OF 7.2% TO 7.0% AND THE CURRENT NONRESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT RATE OF 29%TO 24%; REQUIRING THE REVENUE FROM THE INCOME TAX INCREASES TO BE DEPOSITED IN A DEDICATED PUBLIC EDUCATION FUND AND ALLOWING THE REVENUE COLLECTED TO BE RETAINED AND SPENT AS VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGES; REQUIRING THE LEGISLATURE TO ANNUALLY APPROPRIATE MONEY FROM THE FUND TO SCHOOL DISTRICTS TO SUPPORT EARLY CHILDHOOD THROUGH HIGH SCHOOL PUBLIC EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS ON AN EQUITABLE BASIS THROUGHOUT THE STATE WITHOUT DECREASING GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS; DIRECTING THE LEGISLATURE TO ENACT, REGULARLY REVIEW, AND REVISE WHEN NECESSARY, A NEW PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE LAW THAT MEETS SPECIFIED CRITERIA; UNTIL THE LEGISLATURE HAS ENACTED A NEW PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE LAW, REQUIRING THE MONEY IN THE FUND TO BE ANNUALLY APPROPRIATED FOR SPECIFIED EDUCATION PROGRAMS AND PURPOSES; REQUIRING THE MONEY IN THE FUND TO BE USED TO SUPPORT ONLY PUBLIC SCHOOLS; REQUIRING GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR PUBLIC EDUCATION TO INCREASE BY INFLATION, UP TO 5%, ANNUALLY; AND REQUIRING THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION TO COMMISSION A STUDY OF THE USE OF THE MONEY IN THE FUND WITHIN FIVE YEARS?

YES/FOR NO/AGAINST

Amendment 74 (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution requiring the government to award just compensation to owners of private property when a government law or regulation reduces the fair market value of the property?

YES/FOR NO/AGAINST

Amendment 75 (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution providing that if any candidate in a primary or general election for state office directs more than one million dollars in support of his or her own election, then every candidate for that office in the same election may accept five times the amount of campaign contributions normally allowed?

YES/FOR NO/AGAINST

Proposition 109 (STATUTORY)

SHALL STATE DEBT BE INCREASED \$3,500,000,000, WITH A MAXIMUM REPAYMENT COST OF \$5,200,000,000, WITHOUT RAISING TAXES OR FEES, BY A CHANGE TO THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES REQUIRING THE ISSUANCE OF TRANSPORTATION REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, NOTE PROCEEDS SHALL BE RETAINED AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND USED EXCLUSIVELY TO FUND SPECIFIED ROAD AND BRIDGE EXPANSION, CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE, AND REPAIR PROJECTS THROUGHOUT THE STATE?

YES/FOR NO/AGAINST

Proposition 110 (STATUTORY)

SHALL STATE TAXES BE INCREASED \$766,700,000 ANNUALLY FOR A TWENTY-YEAR PERIOD, AND STATE DEBT SHALL BE INCREASED \$6,000,000,000 WITH A MAXIMUM REPAYMENT COST OF \$9,400,000,000, TO PAY FOR STATE AND LOCAL TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, CHANGING THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES TO: 1) INCREASE THE STATE SALES AND USE TAX RATE BY 0.62% BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2019; REQUIRING 45% OF THE NEW REVENUE TO FUND STATE TRANSPORTATION SAFETY, MAINTENANCE, AND CONGESTION RELATED PROJECTS, 40% TO FUND MUNICIPAL AND COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS, AND 15% TO FUND MULTIMODAL TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS, INCLUDING BIKE, PEDESTRIAN, AND TRANSIT INFRASTRUCTURE; 2) AUTHORIZE THE ISSUANCE OF ADDITIONAL TRANSPORTATION REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES TO FUND PRIORITY STATE TRANSPORTATION MAINTENANCE AND CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS, INCLUDING MULTIMODAL CAPITAL PROJECTS; AND 3) PROVIDE THAT ALL REVENUE RESULTING FROM THE TAX RATE INCREASE AND PROCEEDS FROM ISSUANCE OF REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES ARE VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGES EXEMPT FROM ANY STATE OR LOCAL REVENUE, SPENDING, OR OTHER LIMITATIONS IN LAW?

YES/FOR NO/AGAINST

Proposition 111 (STATUTORY)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning limitations on payday lenders, and, in connection therewith, reducing allowable charges on payday loans to an annual percentage rate of no more than thirty-six percent?

YES/FOR NO/AGAINST

Proposition 112 (STATUTORY)

Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning a statewide minimum distance requirement for new oil and gas development, and, in connection therewith, changing existing distance requirements to require that any new oil and gas development be located at least 2,500 feet from any structure intended for human occupancy and any other area designated by the measure, the state, or a local government and authorizing the state or a local government to increase the minimum distance requirement?

YES/FOR NO/AGAINST

Political Subdivision Measures

Ballot Issue 6B

SHALL THE FORT LEWIS MESA FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED BY ONE HUNDRED TWENTY THOUSAND, SEVEN HUNDRED THREE DOLLARS (\$120,703)(FIRST FISCAL YEAR DOLLAR INCREASE) AND BY WHATEVER ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS ARE RAISED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY AN ADDITIONAL AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAX LEVY OF TWO AND ONE HALF (2.5) MILLS, (RESULTING IN AN EXPECTED 2019 ANNUAL INCREASE OF \$18.00 FOR A RESIDENCE WORTH \$100,000), AND SHALL SUCH INCREASE IN REVENUES AND ANY INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON BE COLLECTED AND SPENT AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AS AN EXCEPTION TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE RAISING OR OTHER LIMITATION OF ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW; AND SHALL THE REVENUE FROM THE DISTRICT PROPERTY TAX LEVY AND INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON ALSO BE APPROVED FOR 2019 AND EACH SUBSEQUENT YEAR THEREAFTER AS AN INCREASED LEVY UNDER C.R.S. SECTION 29-1-302?

YES/FOR NO/AGAINST

Ballot Issue 6C

SHALL THE FORT LEWIS MESA FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO ADJUST ITS CURRENT AND ALL FUTURE MILL LEVIES, (INCLUDING THE ADDITIONAL 2.5 MILLS IF THE VOTERS APPROVE BALLOT QUESTION 6B, IF, ON OR AFTER JANUARY 1, 2019, THERE ARE CHANGES IN THE METHOD OF CALCULATING ASSESSED VALUATION, INCLUDING A CHANGE IN THE PERCENTAGE OF ACTUAL VALUATION USED TO DETERMINE ASSESSED VALUATION, SO THAT, TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE, THE ACTUAL TAX REVENUES GENERATED BY SUCH MILL LEVIES ARE NOT LESS THAN THE ACTUAL TAX REVENUES THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN GENERATED HAD SUCH CHANGES NOT OCCURRED?

YES/FOR NO/AGAINST



Official General Election Ballot
La Plata County, Colorado
Tuesday, November 06, 2018

Tiffany Parker
Clerk and Recorder


Precinct: 14B
Ballot Style: 7

How to vote
Correct




- Use blue or black ink
- Completely fill the oval to the left of your choice

Incorrect



If you make a mistake



- Cross out the entire name or choice that you marked by mistake
- Completely fill another oval to the left of the correct choice

Optional write-in



- Completely fill the oval to the left of the words "Write-in"
- Then legibly print the name of an eligible write-in candidate on the line

- Do not vote for more candidates or choices than indicated for each ballot contest
- Do not erase or white out mistakes
- Do not sign or initial your ballot or mistakes
- You don't have to vote in every race or contest

WARNING: Any person who, by use of force or other means, unduly influences an eligible elector to vote in any particular manner or to refrain from voting, or who falsely makes, alters, forges, or counterfeits any mail ballot before or after it has been cast, or who destroys, defaces, mutilates, or tampers with a ballot is subject, upon conviction, to imprisonment, or to a fine, or both. Section 1-7.5-107(3)(b), C.R.S.

Federal Offices

Representative to the 116th United States Congress - District 3

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Scott R. Tipton Republican
- ☐ Diane Mitsch Bush Democratic
- ☐ Gaylon Kent Libertarian
- ☐ Mary M. Malarsie Independent
(Signed declaration to limit service to no more than 3 terms)
- ☐ Write-in

State Offices

Governor / Lieutenant Governor

(Vote for One Pair)

- ☐ Jared Polis / Dianne Primavera Democratic
- ☐ Walker Stapleton / Lang Sias Republican
- ☐ Bill Hammons / Eric Bodenstab Unity
- ☐ Scott Helker / Michele Poague Libertarian

Secretary of State

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Wayne Williams Republican
- ☐ Jena Griswold Democratic
- ☐ Amanda Campbell American Constitution
- ☐ Blake Huber Approval Voting

State Treasurer

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Brian Watson Republican
- ☐ Dave Young Democratic
- ☐ Gerald F. Kilpatrick American Constitution

Attorney General

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Phil Weiser Democratic
- ☐ George Brauchler Republican
- ☐ William F. Robinson III Libertarian

Regent of the University of Colorado - At Large

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Lesley Smith Democratic
- ☐ Ken Montera Republican
- ☐ Christopher E. Otwell Unity
- ☐ James K. Treibert Libertarian

Regent of the University of Colorado - Congressional District 3

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Alvin Rivera Democratic
- ☐ Glen H. Gallegos Republican
- ☐ Michael Stapleton Libertarian

State Senator - District 6

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Guinn Unger Jr. Democratic
- ☐ Don Coram Republican

State Representative - District 59

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Barbara McLachlan Democratic
- ☐ Paul Jones Independent

County Offices

County Commissioner - District 1

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Clyde L. Church Democratic
- ☐ Brad Blake Republican

County Clerk and Recorder

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Tiffany Lee Parker Republican

County Treasurer

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Colton Black Republican
- ☐ Allison Aichele Democratic

County Assessor

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Carrie Woodson Democratic

County Sheriff

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Charles Hamby Republican
- ☐ Sean Smith Democratic
- ☐ Dean Mize Unaffiliated

County Surveyor

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Steven McCormack Republican

County Coroner

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Jann Smith Republican

Judicial Retention Questions

(Vote YES or NO)

Shall Justice Richard L. Gabriel of the Colorado Supreme Court be retained in office?

- ☐ YES ☐ NO

Shall Judge John Daniel Dailey of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?

- ☐ YES ☐ NO

Shall Judge Rebecca Rankin Freyre of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?

- ☐ YES ☐ NO

Shall Judge Elizabeth L. Harris of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?

- ☐ YES ☐ NO

Shall Judge David J. Richman of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?

- ☐ YES ☐ NO

Ballot Measures

Ballot questions referred by the general assembly or any political subdivision are listed by letter, and ballot questions initiated by the people are listed numerically. A ballot question listed as an "amendment" proposes a change to the Colorado constitution, and a ballot question listed as a "proposition" proposes a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes. A "yes/for" vote on any ballot question is a vote in favor of changing current law or existing circumstances, and a "no/against" vote on any ballot question is a vote against changing current law or existing circumstances.

State Measures

Amendment V (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a reduction in the age qualification for a member of the general assembly from twenty-five years to twenty-one years?

- ☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Amendment W (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a change in the format of the election ballot for judicial retention elections?

- ☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Amendment X (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning changing the industrial hemp definition from a constitutional definition to a statutory definition?

- ☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Amendment Y (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a change to the way that congressional districts are drawn, and, in connection therewith, taking the duty to draw congressional districts away from the state legislature and giving it to an independent commission, composed of twelve citizens who possess specified qualifications; prohibiting any one political party's control of the commission by requiring that one-third of commissioners will not be affiliated with any political party, one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's largest political party, and one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's second largest political party; prohibiting certain persons, including professional lobbyists, federal campaign committee employees, and federal, state, and local elected officials, from serving on the commission; limiting judicial review of a map to a determination by the supreme court of whether the commission or its nonpartisan staff committed an abuse of discretion; requiring the commission to draw districts with a focus on communities of interest and political subdivisions, such as cities and counties, and then to maximize the number of competitive congressional seats to the extent possible; and prohibiting maps from being drawn to dilute the electoral influence of any racial or ethnic group or to protect any incumbent, any political candidate, or any political party?

- ☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Amendment Z (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a change to the manner in which state senate and state house of representatives districts are drawn, and, in connection therewith, reforming the existing legislative reapportionment commission by expanding the commission to twelve members and authorizing the appointment of members who possess specified qualifications; prohibiting any one political party's control of the commission by requiring that one-third of commissioners will not be affiliated with any political party, one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's largest political party, and one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's second largest political party; prohibiting certain persons, including professional lobbyists, federal campaign committee employees, and federal, state, and local elected officials, from serving on the commission; limiting judicial review of a map to a determination by the supreme court of whether the commission or its nonpartisan staff committed an abuse of discretion; requiring the commission to draw state legislative districts using communities of interest as well as political subdivisions, such as cities and counties, and then to maximize the number of competitive state legislative seats to the extent possible; and prohibiting maps from being drawn to dilute the electoral influence of any racial or ethnic group or to protect any incumbent, any political candidate, or any political party?

☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Amendment A (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution that prohibits slavery and involuntary servitude as punishment for a crime and thereby prohibits slavery and involuntary servitude in all circumstances?

☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Amendment 73 (CONSTITUTIONAL)

SHALL STATE TAXES BE INCREASED \$1,600,000,000 ANNUALLY BY AN AMENDMENT TO THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION AND A CHANGE TO THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES CONCERNING FUNDING RELATING TO PRESCHOOL THROUGH HIGH SCHOOL PUBLIC EDUCATION, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, CREATING AN EXCEPTION TO THE SINGLE RATE STATE INCOME TAX FOR REVENUE THAT IS DEDICATED TO THE FUNDING OF PUBLIC SCHOOLS; INCREASING INCOME TAX RATES INCREMENTALLY FOR INDIVIDUALS, TRUSTS, AND ESTATES USING FOUR TAX BRACKETS STARTING AT .37% FOR INCOME ABOVE \$150,000 AND INCREASING TO 3.62% FOR INCOME ABOVE \$500,000; INCREASING THE CORPORATE INCOME TAX RATE BY 1.37%; FOR PURPOSES OF SCHOOL DISTRICT PROPERTY TAXES, REDUCING THE CURRENT RESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT RATE OF 7.2% TO 7.0% AND THE CURRENT NONRESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT RATE OF 29%TO 24%; REQUIRING THE REVENUE FROM THE INCOME TAX INCREASES TO BE DEPOSITED IN A DEDICATED PUBLIC EDUCATION FUND AND ALLOWING THE REVENUE COLLECTED TO BE RETAINED AND SPENT AS VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGES; REQUIRING THE LEGISLATURE TO ANNUALLY APPROPRIATE MONEY FROM THE FUND TO SCHOOL DISTRICTS TO SUPPORT EARLY CHILDHOOD THROUGH HIGH SCHOOL PUBLIC EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS ON AN EQUITABLE BASIS THROUGHOUT THE STATE WITHOUT DECREASING GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS; DIRECTING THE LEGISLATURE TO ENACT, REGULARLY REVIEW, AND REVISE WHEN NECESSARY, A NEW PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE LAW THAT MEETS SPECIFIED CRITERIA; UNTIL THE LEGISLATURE HAS ENACTED A NEW PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE LAW, REQUIRING THE MONEY IN THE FUND TO BE ANNUALLY APPROPRIATED FOR SPECIFIED EDUCATION PROGRAMS AND PURPOSES; REQUIRING THE MONEY IN THE FUND TO BE USED TO SUPPORT ONLY PUBLIC SCHOOLS; REQUIRING GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR PUBLIC EDUCATION TO INCREASE BY INFLATION, UP TO 5%, ANNUALLY; AND REQUIRING THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION TO COMMISSION A STUDY OF THE USE OF THE MONEY IN THE FUND WITHIN FIVE YEARS?

☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Amendment 74 (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution requiring the government to award just compensation to owners of private property when a government law or regulation reduces the fair market value of the property?

☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Amendment 75 (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution providing that if any candidate in a primary or general election for state office directs more than one million dollars in support of his or her own election, then every candidate for that office in the same election may accept five times the amount of campaign contributions normally allowed?

☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Proposition 109 (STATUTORY)

SHALL STATE DEBT BE INCREASED \$3,500,000,000, WITH A MAXIMUM REPAYMENT COST OF \$5,200,000,000, WITHOUT RAISING TAXES OR FEES, BY A CHANGE TO THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES REQUIRING THE ISSUANCE OF TRANSPORTATION REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, NOTE PROCEEDS SHALL BE RETAINED AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND USED EXCLUSIVELY TO FUND SPECIFIED ROAD AND BRIDGE EXPANSION, CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE, AND REPAIR PROJECTS THROUGHOUT THE STATE?

☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Proposition 110 (STATUTORY)

SHALL STATE TAXES BE INCREASED \$766,700,000 ANNUALLY FOR A TWENTY-YEAR PERIOD, AND STATE DEBT SHALL BE INCREASED \$6,000,000,000 WITH A MAXIMUM REPAYMENT COST OF \$9,400,000,000, TO PAY FOR STATE AND LOCAL TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, CHANGING THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES TO: 1) INCREASE THE STATE SALES AND USE TAX RATE BY 0.62% BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2019; REQUIRING 45% OF THE NEW REVENUE TO FUND STATE TRANSPORTATION SAFETY, MAINTENANCE, AND CONGESTION RELATED PROJECTS, 40% TO FUND MUNICIPAL AND COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS, AND 15% TO FUND MULTIMODAL TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS, INCLUDING BIKE, PEDESTRIAN, AND TRANSIT INFRASTRUCTURE; 2) AUTHORIZE THE ISSUANCE OF ADDITIONAL TRANSPORTATION REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES TO FUND PRIORITY STATE TRANSPORTATION MAINTENANCE AND CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS, INCLUDING MULTIMODAL CAPITAL PROJECTS; AND 3) PROVIDE THAT ALL REVENUE RESULTING FROM THE TAX RATE INCREASE AND PROCEEDS FROM ISSUANCE OF REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES ARE VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGES EXEMPT FROM ANY STATE OR LOCAL REVENUE, SPENDING, OR OTHER LIMITATIONS IN LAW?

☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Proposition 111 (STATUTORY)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning limitations on payday lenders, and, in connection therewith, reducing allowable charges on payday loans to an annual percentage rate of no more than thirty-six percent?

☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Proposition 112 (STATUTORY)

Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning a statewide minimum distance requirement for new oil and gas development, and, in connection therewith, changing existing distance requirements to require that any new oil and gas development be located at least 2,500 feet from any structure intended for human occupancy and any other area designated by the measure, the state, or a local government and authorizing the state or a local government to increase the minimum distance requirement?

☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Political Subdivision Measures

Ballot Issue 7A

SHALL THE UPPER PINE RIVER FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT AD VALOREM MILL LEVY BE INCREASED OR DECREASED ANNUALLY, COMMENCING IN 2019, TO ACCOUNT FOR ANY CHANGES IN LAW OR THE METHOD BY WHICH ASSESSED VALUATION IS CALCULATED FALLS BELOW THE RESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT RATE OF 7.2% OCCURRING IN 2018 OR THEREAFTER, SO THAT TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE, THE ACTUAL TAX REVENUES GENERATED BY THE MILL LEVY, AS ADJUSTED, ARE NEITHER DIMINISHED NOR ENHANCED AS A RESULT OF SUCH CHANGES; THE REVENUES FROM SUCH ADJUSTMENT TO BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE OF PAYING THE DISTRICT'S OPERATIONS, MAINTENANCE, AND OTHER EXPENSES, AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES AND INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE IN 2019 AND IN EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, OR SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUES, AND WITHOUT LIMITING IN ANY YEAR THE AMOUNT OF OTHER REVENUES THAT MAY BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT?

☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST



Official General Election Ballot
La Plata County, Colorado
Tuesday, November 06, 2018


Tiffany Parker
Clerk and Recorder

How to vote
Correct




- Use blue or black ink
- Completely fill the oval to the left of your choice

Incorrect




If you make a mistake



- Cross out the entire name or choice that you marked by mistake
- Completely fill another oval to the left of the correct choice

Optional write-in



- Completely fill the oval to the left of the words "Write-in"
- Then legibly print the name of an eligible write-in candidate on the line

- Do not vote for more candidates or choices than indicated for each ballot contest
- Do not erase or white out mistakes
- Do not sign or initial your ballot or mistakes
- You don't have to vote in every race or contest

WARNING: Any person who, by use of force or other means, unduly influences an eligible elector to vote in any particular manner or to refrain from voting, or who falsely makes, alters, forges, or counterfeits any mail ballot before or after it has been cast, or who destroys, defaces, mutilates, or tampers with a ballot is subject, upon conviction, to imprisonment, or to a fine, or both. Section 1-7.5-107(3)(b), C.R.S.

Federal Offices

Representative to the 116th United States Congress - District 3

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|--|-------------|
| ☐ Scott R. Tipton | Republican |
| ☐ Diane Mitsch Bush | Democratic |
| ☐ Gaylon Kent | Libertarian |
| ☐ Mary M. Malarsie
<small>(Signed declaration to limit service to no more than 3 terms)</small> | Independent |
| ☐ | |

Write-in

State Offices

Governor / Lieutenant Governor

(Vote for One Pair)

- | | |
|--|-------------|
| ☐ Jared Polis / Dianne Primavera | Democratic |
| ☐ Walker Stapleton / Lang Sias | Republican |
| ☐ Bill Hammons / Eric Bodenstab | Unity |
| ☐ Scott Helker / Michele Poague | Libertarian |

Secretary of State

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| ☐ Wayne Williams | Republican |
| ☐ Jena Griswold | Democratic |
| ☐ Amanda Campbell | American Constitution |
| ☐ Blake Huber | Approval Voting |

State Treasurer

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|--|-----------------------|
| ☐ Brian Watson | Republican |
| ☐ Dave Young | Democratic |
| ☐ Gerald F. Kilpatrick | American Constitution |

Attorney General

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|---|-------------|
| ☐ Phil Weiser | Democratic |
| ☐ George Brauchler | Republican |
| ☐ William F. Robinson III | Libertarian |

Regent of the University of Colorado - At Large

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|---|-------------|
| ☐ Lesley Smith | Democratic |
| ☐ Ken Montera | Republican |
| ☐ Christopher E. Otwell | Unity |
| ☐ James K. Treibert | Libertarian |

Regent of the University of Colorado - Congressional District 3

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|---|-------------|
| ☐ Alvin Rivera | Democratic |
| ☐ Glen H. Gallegos | Republican |
| ☐ Michael Stapleton | Libertarian |

State Senator - District 6

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------|
| ☐ Guinn Unger Jr. | Democratic |
| ☐ Don Coram | Republican |

State Representative - District 59

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|---|-------------|
| ☐ Barbara McLachlan | Democratic |
| ☐ Paul Jones | Independent |

County Offices

County Commissioner - District 1

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------|
| ☐ Clyde L. Church | Democratic |
| ☐ Brad Blake | Republican |

County Clerk and Recorder

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|--|------------|
| ☐ Tiffany Lee Parker | Republican |
|--|------------|

County Treasurer

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------|
| ☐ Colton Black | Republican |
| ☐ Allison Aichele | Democratic |

County Assessor

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------|
| ☐ Carrie Woodson | Democratic |
|--------------------------------------|------------|

County Sheriff

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| ☐ Charles Hamby | Republican |
| ☐ Sean Smith | Democratic |
| ☐ Dean Mize | Unaffiliated |

County Surveyor

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|--|------------|
| ☐ Steven McCormack | Republican |
|--|------------|

County Coroner

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|----------------------------------|------------|
| ☐ Jann Smith | Republican |
|----------------------------------|------------|

Judicial Retention Questions

(Vote YES or NO)

Shall Justice Richard L. Gabriel of the Colorado Supreme Court be retained in office?

- ☐ YES ☐ NO

Shall Judge John Daniel Dailey of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?

- ☐ YES ☐ NO

Shall Judge Rebecca Rankin Freyre of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?

- ☐ YES ☐ NO

Shall Judge Elizabeth L. Harris of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?

- ☐ YES ☐ NO

Shall Judge David J. Richman of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?

- ☐ YES ☐ NO

Ballot Measures

Ballot questions referred by the general assembly or any political subdivision are listed by letter, and ballot questions initiated by the people are listed numerically. A ballot question listed as an "amendment" proposes a change to the Colorado constitution, and a ballot question listed as a "proposition" proposes a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes. A "yes/for" vote on any ballot question is a vote in favor of changing current law or existing circumstances, and a "no/against" vote on any ballot question is a vote against changing current law or existing circumstances.

State Measures

Amendment V (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a reduction in the age qualification for a member of the general assembly from twenty-five years to twenty-one years?

- ☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Amendment W (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a change in the format of the election ballot for judicial retention elections?

- ☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Amendment X (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning changing the industrial hemp definition from a constitutional definition to a statutory definition?

- ☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Amendment Y (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a change to the way that congressional districts are drawn, and, in connection therewith, taking the duty to draw congressional districts away from the state legislature and giving it to an independent commission, composed of twelve citizens who possess specified qualifications; prohibiting any one political party's control of the commission by requiring that one-third of commissioners will not be affiliated with any political party, one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's largest political party, and one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's second largest political party; prohibiting certain persons, including professional lobbyists, federal campaign committee employees, and federal, state, and local elected officials, from serving on the commission; limiting judicial review of a map to a determination by the supreme court of whether the commission or its nonpartisan staff committed an abuse of discretion; requiring the commission to draw districts with a focus on communities of interest and political subdivisions, such as cities and counties, and then to maximize the number of competitive congressional seats to the extent possible; and prohibiting maps from being drawn to dilute the electoral influence of any racial or ethnic group or to protect any incumbent, any political candidate, or any political party?

- ☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Amendment Z (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a change to the manner in which state senate and state house of representatives districts are drawn, and, in connection therewith, reforming the existing legislative reapportionment commission by expanding the commission to twelve members and authorizing the appointment of members who possess specified qualifications; prohibiting any one political party's control of the commission by requiring that one-third of commissioners will not be affiliated with any political party, one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's largest political party, and one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's second largest political party; prohibiting certain persons, including professional lobbyists, federal campaign committee employees, and federal, state, and local elected officials, from serving on the commission; limiting judicial review of a map to a determination by the supreme court of whether the commission or its nonpartisan staff committed an abuse of discretion; requiring the commission to draw state legislative districts using communities of interest as well as political subdivisions, such as cities and counties, and then to maximize the number of competitive state legislative seats to the extent possible; and prohibiting maps from being drawn to dilute the electoral influence of any racial or ethnic group or to protect any incumbent, any political candidate, or any political party?

☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Amendment A (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution that prohibits slavery and involuntary servitude as punishment for a crime and thereby prohibits slavery and involuntary servitude in all circumstances?

☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Amendment 73 (CONSTITUTIONAL)

SHALL STATE TAXES BE INCREASED \$1,600,000,000 ANNUALLY BY AN AMENDMENT TO THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION AND A CHANGE TO THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES CONCERNING FUNDING RELATING TO PRESCHOOL THROUGH HIGH SCHOOL PUBLIC EDUCATION, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, CREATING AN EXCEPTION TO THE SINGLE RATE STATE INCOME TAX FOR REVENUE THAT IS DEDICATED TO THE FUNDING OF PUBLIC SCHOOLS; INCREASING INCOME TAX RATES INCREMENTALLY FOR INDIVIDUALS, TRUSTS, AND ESTATES USING FOUR TAX BRACKETS STARTING AT .37% FOR INCOME ABOVE \$150,000 AND INCREASING TO 3.62% FOR INCOME ABOVE \$500,000; INCREASING THE CORPORATE INCOME TAX RATE BY 1.37%; FOR PURPOSES OF SCHOOL DISTRICT PROPERTY TAXES, REDUCING THE CURRENT RESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT RATE OF 7.2% TO 7.0% AND THE CURRENT NONRESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT RATE OF 29% TO 24%; REQUIRING THE REVENUE FROM THE INCOME TAX INCREASES TO BE DEPOSITED IN A DEDICATED PUBLIC EDUCATION FUND AND ALLOWING THE REVENUE COLLECTED TO BE RETAINED AND SPENT AS VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGES; REQUIRING THE LEGISLATURE TO ANNUALLY APPROPRIATE MONEY FROM THE FUND TO SCHOOL DISTRICTS TO SUPPORT EARLY CHILDHOOD THROUGH HIGH SCHOOL PUBLIC EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS ON AN EQUITABLE BASIS THROUGHOUT THE STATE WITHOUT DECREASING GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS; DIRECTING THE LEGISLATURE TO ENACT, REGULARLY REVIEW, AND REVISE WHEN NECESSARY, A NEW PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE LAW THAT MEETS SPECIFIED CRITERIA; UNTIL THE LEGISLATURE HAS ENACTED A NEW PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE LAW, REQUIRING THE MONEY IN THE FUND TO BE ANNUALLY APPROPRIATED FOR SPECIFIED EDUCATION PROGRAMS AND PURPOSES; REQUIRING THE MONEY IN THE FUND TO BE USED TO SUPPORT ONLY PUBLIC SCHOOLS; REQUIRING GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR PUBLIC EDUCATION TO INCREASE BY INFLATION, UP TO 5%, ANNUALLY; AND REQUIRING THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION TO COMMISSION A STUDY OF THE USE OF THE MONEY IN THE FUND WITHIN FIVE YEARS?

☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Amendment 74 (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution requiring the government to award just compensation to owners of private property when a government law or regulation reduces the fair market value of the property?

☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Amendment 75 (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution providing that if any candidate in a primary or general election for state office directs more than one million dollars in support of his or her own election, then every candidate for that office in the same election may accept five times the amount of campaign contributions normally allowed?

☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Proposition 109 (STATUTORY)

SHALL STATE DEBT BE INCREASED \$3,500,000,000, WITH A MAXIMUM REPAYMENT COST OF \$5,200,000,000, WITHOUT RAISING TAXES OR FEES, BY A CHANGE TO THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES REQUIRING THE ISSUANCE OF TRANSPORTATION REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, NOTE PROCEEDS SHALL BE RETAINED AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND USED EXCLUSIVELY TO FUND SPECIFIED ROAD AND BRIDGE EXPANSION, CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE, AND REPAIR PROJECTS THROUGHOUT THE STATE?

☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Proposition 110 (STATUTORY)

SHALL STATE TAXES BE INCREASED \$766,700,000 ANNUALLY FOR A TWENTY-YEAR PERIOD, AND STATE DEBT SHALL BE INCREASED \$6,000,000,000 WITH A MAXIMUM REPAYMENT COST OF \$9,400,000,000, TO PAY FOR STATE AND LOCAL TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, CHANGING THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES TO: 1) INCREASE THE STATE SALES AND USE TAX RATE BY 0.62% BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2019; REQUIRING 45% OF THE NEW REVENUE TO FUND STATE TRANSPORTATION SAFETY, MAINTENANCE, AND CONGESTION RELATED PROJECTS, 40% TO FUND MUNICIPAL AND COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS, AND 15% TO FUND MULTIMODAL TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS, INCLUDING BIKE, PEDESTRIAN, AND TRANSIT INFRASTRUCTURE; 2) AUTHORIZE THE ISSUANCE OF ADDITIONAL TRANSPORTATION REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES TO FUND PRIORITY STATE TRANSPORTATION MAINTENANCE AND CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS, INCLUDING MULTIMODAL CAPITAL PROJECTS; AND 3) PROVIDE THAT ALL REVENUE RESULTING FROM THE TAX RATE INCREASE AND PROCEEDS FROM ISSUANCE OF REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES ARE VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGES EXEMPT FROM ANY STATE OR LOCAL REVENUE, SPENDING, OR OTHER LIMITATIONS IN LAW?

☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Proposition 111 (STATUTORY)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning limitations on payday lenders, and, in connection therewith, reducing allowable charges on payday loans to an annual percentage rate of no more than thirty-six percent?

☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Proposition 112 (STATUTORY)

Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning a statewide minimum distance requirement for new oil and gas development, and, in connection therewith, changing existing distance requirements to require that any new oil and gas development be located at least 2,500 feet from any structure intended for human occupancy and any other area designated by the measure, the state, or a local government and authorizing the state or a local government to increase the minimum distance requirement?

☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Political Subdivision Measures

Ballot Issue 7A

SHALL THE UPPER PINE RIVER FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT AD VALOREM MILL LEVY BE INCREASED OR DECREASED ANNUALLY, COMMENCING IN 2019, TO ACCOUNT FOR ANY CHANGES IN LAW OR THE METHOD BY WHICH ASSESSED VALUATION IS CALCULATED FALLS BELOW THE RESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT RATE OF 7.2% OCCURRING IN 2018 OR THEREAFTER, SO THAT TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE, THE ACTUAL TAX REVENUES GENERATED BY THE MILL LEVY, AS ADJUSTED, ARE NEITHER DIMINISHED NOR ENHANCED AS A RESULT OF SUCH CHANGES; THE REVENUES FROM SUCH ADJUSTMENT TO BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE OF PAYING THE DISTRICT'S OPERATIONS, MAINTENANCE, AND OTHER EXPENSES, AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES AND INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE IN 2019 AND IN EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, OR SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES, AND WITHOUT LIMITING IN ANY YEAR THE AMOUNT OF OTHER REVENUES THAT MAY BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT?

☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Ballot Issue 6D

SHALL IGNACIO-ALLISON-OXFORD CEMETERY DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED BY AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$42,709 ANNUALLY IN THE FIRST FULL YEAR (2018 TAXES PAYABLE IN 2019), AND BY WHATEVER ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS ARE RAISED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY A MILL LEVY AT A RATE OF 0.475 MILLS FOR THE PURPOSE OF PAYING THE DISTRICT'S ADMINISTRATION, OPERATION, MAINTENANCE AND OTHER EXPENSES OF THE DISTRICT WITHOUT LIMITATION; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND SPEND THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES AND ALL OTHER AMOUNTS RECEIVED ANNUALLY FROM ANY REVENUE SOURCES WHATSOEVER AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, SECTION 29-1-301, C.R.S., OR ANY OTHER LAW?

☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST



Official General Election Ballot
La Plata County, Colorado
Tuesday, November 06, 2018

Tiffany Parker
Clerk and Recorder


Precinct: 26
Ballot Style: 9

How to vote
Correct




- Use blue or black ink
- Completely fill the oval to the left of your choice

Incorrect




If you make a mistake



- Cross out the entire name or choice that you marked by mistake
- Completely fill another oval to the left of the correct choice

Optional write-in



- Completely fill the oval to the left of the words "Write-in"
- Then legibly print the name of an eligible write-in candidate on the line

- Do not vote for more candidates or choices than indicated for each ballot contest
- Do not erase or white out mistakes
- Do not sign or initial your ballot or mistakes
- You don't have to vote in every race or contest

WARNING: Any person who, by use of force or other means, unduly influences an eligible elector to vote in any particular manner or to refrain from voting, or who falsely makes, alters, forges, or counterfeits any mail ballot before or after it has been cast, or who destroys, defaces, mutilates, or tampers with a ballot is subject, upon conviction, to imprisonment, or to a fine, or both. Section 1-7.5-107(3)(b), C.R.S.

Federal Offices

Representative to the 116th United States Congress - District 3

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|---|-------------|
| ☐ Scott R. Tipton | Republican |
| ☐ Diane Mitsch Bush | Democratic |
| ☐ Gaylon Kent | Libertarian |
| ☐ Mary M. Malarsie
(Signed declaration to limit service to no more than 3 terms) | Independent |
| ☐ | |

Write-in

State Offices

Governor / Lieutenant Governor

(Vote for One Pair)

- | | |
|--|-------------|
| ☐ Jared Polis / Dianne Primavera | Democratic |
| ☐ Walker Stapleton / Lang Sias | Republican |
| ☐ Bill Hammons / Eric Bodenstab | Unity |
| ☐ Scott Helker / Michele Poague | Libertarian |

Secretary of State

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| ☐ Wayne Williams | Republican |
| ☐ Jena Griswold | Democratic |
| ☐ Amanda Campbell | American Constitution |
| ☐ Blake Huber | Approval Voting |

State Treasurer

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|--|-----------------------|
| ☐ Brian Watson | Republican |
| ☐ Dave Young | Democratic |
| ☐ Gerald F. Kilpatrick | American Constitution |

Attorney General

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|---|-------------|
| ☐ Phil Weiser | Democratic |
| ☐ George Brauchler | Republican |
| ☐ William F. Robinson III | Libertarian |

Regent of the University of Colorado - At Large

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|---|-------------|
| ☐ Lesley Smith | Democratic |
| ☐ Ken Montera | Republican |
| ☐ Christopher E. Otwell | Unity |
| ☐ James K. Treibert | Libertarian |

Regent of the University of Colorado - Congressional District 3

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|---|-------------|
| ☐ Alvin Rivera | Democratic |
| ☐ Glen H. Gallegos | Republican |
| ☐ Michael Stapleton | Libertarian |

State Senator - District 6

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------|
| ☐ Guinn Unger Jr. | Democratic |
| ☐ Don Coram | Republican |

State Representative - District 59

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|---|-------------|
| ☐ Barbara McLachlan | Democratic |
| ☐ Paul Jones | Independent |

County Offices

County Commissioner - District 1

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------|
| ☐ Clyde L. Church | Democratic |
| ☐ Brad Blake | Republican |

County Clerk and Recorder

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|--|------------|
| ☐ Tiffany Lee Parker | Republican |
|--|------------|

County Treasurer

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------|
| ☐ Colton Black | Republican |
| ☐ Allison Aichele | Democratic |

County Assessor

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------|
| ☐ Carrie Woodson | Democratic |
|--------------------------------------|------------|

County Sheriff

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| ☐ Charles Hamby | Republican |
| ☐ Sean Smith | Democratic |
| ☐ Dean Mize | Unaffiliated |

County Surveyor

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|--|------------|
| ☐ Steven McCormack | Republican |
|--|------------|

County Coroner

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|----------------------------------|------------|
| ☐ Jann Smith | Republican |
|----------------------------------|------------|

Judicial Retention Questions

(Vote YES or NO)

Shall Justice Richard L. Gabriel of the Colorado Supreme Court be retained in office?

- | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| ☐ YES | ☐ NO |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|

Shall Judge John Daniel Dailey of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?

- | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| ☐ YES | ☐ NO |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|

Shall Judge Rebecca Rankin Freyre of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?

- | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| ☐ YES | ☐ NO |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|

Shall Judge Elizabeth L. Harris of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?

- | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| ☐ YES | ☐ NO |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|

Shall Judge David J. Richman of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?

- | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| ☐ YES | ☐ NO |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|

Ballot Measures

Ballot questions referred by the general assembly or any political subdivision are listed by letter, and ballot questions initiated by the people are listed numerically. A ballot question listed as an "amendment" proposes a change to the Colorado constitution, and a ballot question listed as a "proposition" proposes a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes. A "yes/for" vote on any ballot question is a vote in favor of changing current law or existing circumstances, and a "no/against" vote on any ballot question is a vote against changing current law or existing circumstances.

State Measures

Amendment V (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a reduction in the age qualification for a member of the general assembly from twenty-five years to twenty-one years?

- | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| ☐ YES/FOR | ☐ NO/AGAINST |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|

Amendment W (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a change in the format of the election ballot for judicial retention elections?

- | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| ☐ YES/FOR | ☐ NO/AGAINST |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|

Amendment X (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning changing the industrial hemp definition from a constitutional definition to a statutory definition?

- | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| ☐ YES/FOR | ☐ NO/AGAINST |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|

Amendment Y (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a change to the way that congressional districts are drawn, and, in connection therewith, taking the duty to draw congressional districts away from the state legislature and giving it to an independent commission, composed of twelve citizens who possess specified qualifications; prohibiting any one political party's control of the commission by requiring that one-third of commissioners will not be affiliated with any political party, one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's largest political party, and one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's second largest political party; prohibiting certain persons, including professional lobbyists, federal campaign committee employees, and federal, state, and local elected officials, from serving on the commission; limiting judicial review of a map to a determination by the supreme court of whether the commission or its nonpartisan staff committed an abuse of discretion; requiring the commission to draw districts with a focus on communities of interest and political subdivisions, such as cities and counties, and then to maximize the number of competitive congressional seats to the extent possible; and prohibiting maps from being drawn to dilute the electoral influence of any racial or ethnic group or to protect any incumbent, any political candidate, or any political party?

- | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| ☐ YES/FOR | ☐ NO/AGAINST |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|

! Please review and vote both sides of this ballot.

Amendment Z (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a change to the manner in which state senate and state house of representatives districts are drawn, and, in connection therewith, reforming the existing legislative reapportionment commission by expanding the commission to twelve members and authorizing the appointment of members who possess specified qualifications; prohibiting any one political party's control of the commission by requiring that one-third of commissioners will not be affiliated with any political party, one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's largest political party, and one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's second largest political party; prohibiting certain persons, including professional lobbyists, federal campaign committee employees, and federal, state, and local elected officials, from serving on the commission; limiting judicial review of a map to a determination by the supreme court of whether the commission or its nonpartisan staff committed an abuse of discretion; requiring the commission to draw state legislative districts using communities of interest as well as political subdivisions, such as cities and counties, and then to maximize the number of competitive state legislative seats to the extent possible; and prohibiting maps from being drawn to dilute the electoral influence of any racial or ethnic group or to protect any incumbent, any political candidate, or any political party?

☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Amendment A (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution that prohibits slavery and involuntary servitude as punishment for a crime and thereby prohibits slavery and involuntary servitude in all circumstances?

☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Amendment 73 (CONSTITUTIONAL)

SHALL STATE TAXES BE INCREASED \$1,600,000,000 ANNUALLY BY AN AMENDMENT TO THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION AND A CHANGE TO THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES CONCERNING FUNDING RELATING TO PRESCHOOL THROUGH HIGH SCHOOL PUBLIC EDUCATION, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, CREATING AN EXCEPTION TO THE SINGLE RATE STATE INCOME TAX FOR REVENUE THAT IS DEDICATED TO THE FUNDING OF PUBLIC SCHOOLS; INCREASING INCOME TAX RATES INCREMENTALLY FOR INDIVIDUALS, TRUSTS, AND ESTATES USING FOUR TAX BRACKETS STARTING AT .37% FOR INCOME ABOVE \$150,000 AND INCREASING TO 3.62% FOR INCOME ABOVE \$500,000; INCREASING THE CORPORATE INCOME TAX RATE BY 1.37%; FOR PURPOSES OF SCHOOL DISTRICT PROPERTY TAXES, REDUCING THE CURRENT RESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT RATE OF 7.2% TO 7.0% AND THE CURRENT NONRESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT RATE OF 29% TO 24%; REQUIRING THE REVENUE FROM THE INCOME TAX INCREASES TO BE DEPOSITED IN A DEDICATED PUBLIC EDUCATION FUND AND ALLOWING THE REVENUE COLLECTED TO BE RETAINED AND SPENT AS VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGES; REQUIRING THE LEGISLATURE TO ANNUALLY APPROPRIATE MONEY FROM THE FUND TO SCHOOL DISTRICTS TO SUPPORT EARLY CHILDHOOD THROUGH HIGH SCHOOL PUBLIC EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS ON AN EQUITABLE BASIS THROUGHOUT THE STATE WITHOUT DECREASING GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS; DIRECTING THE LEGISLATURE TO ENACT, REGULARLY REVIEW, AND REVISE WHEN NECESSARY, A NEW PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE LAW THAT MEETS SPECIFIED CRITERIA; UNTIL THE LEGISLATURE HAS ENACTED A NEW PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE LAW, REQUIRING THE MONEY IN THE FUND TO BE ANNUALLY APPROPRIATED FOR SPECIFIED EDUCATION PROGRAMS AND PURPOSES; REQUIRING THE MONEY IN THE FUND TO BE USED TO SUPPORT ONLY PUBLIC SCHOOLS; REQUIRING GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR PUBLIC EDUCATION TO INCREASE BY INFLATION, UP TO 5%, ANNUALLY; AND REQUIRING THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION TO COMMISSION A STUDY OF THE USE OF THE MONEY IN THE FUND WITHIN FIVE YEARS?

☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Amendment 74 (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution requiring the government to award just compensation to owners of private property when a government law or regulation reduces the fair market value of the property?

☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Amendment 75 (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution providing that if any candidate in a primary or general election for state office directs more than one million dollars in support of his or her own election, then every candidate for that office in the same election may accept five times the amount of campaign contributions normally allowed?

☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Proposition 109 (STATUTORY)

SHALL STATE DEBT BE INCREASED \$3,500,000,000, WITH A MAXIMUM REPAYMENT COST OF \$5,200,000,000, WITHOUT RAISING TAXES OR FEES, BY A CHANGE TO THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES REQUIRING THE ISSUANCE OF TRANSPORTATION REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, NOTE PROCEEDS SHALL BE RETAINED AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND USED EXCLUSIVELY TO FUND SPECIFIED ROAD AND BRIDGE EXPANSION, CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE, AND REPAIR PROJECTS THROUGHOUT THE STATE?

☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Proposition 110 (STATUTORY)

SHALL STATE TAXES BE INCREASED \$766,700,000 ANNUALLY FOR A TWENTY-YEAR PERIOD, AND STATE DEBT SHALL BE INCREASED \$6,000,000,000 WITH A MAXIMUM REPAYMENT COST OF \$9,400,000,000, TO PAY FOR STATE AND LOCAL TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, CHANGING THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES TO: 1) INCREASE THE STATE SALES AND USE TAX RATE BY 0.62% BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2019; REQUIRING 45% OF THE NEW REVENUE TO FUND STATE TRANSPORTATION SAFETY, MAINTENANCE, AND CONGESTION RELATED PROJECTS, 40% TO FUND MUNICIPAL AND COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS, AND 15% TO FUND MULTIMODAL TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS, INCLUDING BIKE, PEDESTRIAN, AND TRANSIT INFRASTRUCTURE; 2) AUTHORIZE THE ISSUANCE OF ADDITIONAL TRANSPORTATION REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES TO FUND PRIORITY STATE TRANSPORTATION MAINTENANCE AND CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS, INCLUDING MULTIMODAL CAPITAL PROJECTS; AND 3) PROVIDE THAT ALL REVENUE RESULTING FROM THE TAX RATE INCREASE AND PROCEEDS FROM ISSUANCE OF REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES ARE VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGES EXEMPT FROM ANY STATE OR LOCAL REVENUE, SPENDING, OR OTHER LIMITATIONS IN LAW?

☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Proposition 111 (STATUTORY)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning limitations on payday lenders, and, in connection therewith, reducing allowable charges on payday loans to an annual percentage rate of no more than thirty-six percent?

☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Proposition 112 (STATUTORY)

Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning a statewide minimum distance requirement for new oil and gas development, and, in connection therewith, changing existing distance requirements to require that any new oil and gas development be located at least 2,500 feet from any structure intended for human occupancy and any other area designated by the measure, the state, or a local government and authorizing the state or a local government to increase the minimum distance requirement?

☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Political Subdivision Measures

Ballot Issue 7A

SHALL THE UPPER PINE RIVER FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT AD VALOREM MILL LEVY BE INCREASED OR DECREASED ANNUALLY, COMMENCING IN 2019, TO ACCOUNT FOR ANY CHANGES IN LAW OR THE METHOD BY WHICH ASSESSED VALUATION IS CALCULATED FALLS BELOW THE RESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT RATE OF 7.2% OCCURRING IN 2018 OR THEREAFTER, SO THAT TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE, THE ACTUAL TAX REVENUES GENERATED BY THE MILL LEVY, AS ADJUSTED, ARE NEITHER DIMINISHED NOR ENHANCED AS A RESULT OF SUCH CHANGES; THE REVENUES FROM SUCH ADJUSTMENT TO BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE OF PAYING THE DISTRICT'S OPERATIONS, MAINTENANCE, AND OTHER EXPENSES, AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES AND INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE IN 2019 AND IN EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, OR SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES, AND WITHOUT LIMITING IN ANY YEAR THE AMOUNT OF OTHER REVENUES THAT MAY BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT?

☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Ballot Issue 6A

SHALL LA PLATA COUNTY PROPERTY TAXES FOR THE SUPPORT OF THE PINE RIVER PUBLIC LIBRARY DISTRICT BE INCREASED \$454,185 ANNUALLY IN 2019 (THE FIRST FULL FISCAL YEAR) AND BY SUCH AMOUNTS AS MAY BE GENERATED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY AN INCREASE IN THE TOTAL MILL LEVY TO 4.5 MILLS (AN INCREASE OF 2.0 MILLS FROM THE EXISTING MILL LEVY, PROVIDED THAT THE TOTAL MILL LEVY MAY BE ADJUSTED TO OFFSET REVENUE LOSSES FROM REFUNDS, ABATEMENTS AND CHANGES TO THE PERCENTAGE OF ACTUAL VALUATION USED TO DETERMINE ASSESSED VALUATION), IN ORDER TO MAINTAIN AND IMPROVE LIBRARY SERVICES, AND SHALL ALL REVENUES AND ANY EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT OF SUCH REVENUES BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND AN EXCEPTION TO THE LIMITS WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE APPLY UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER REVENUE LIMITATION OR RESTRICTION SET FORTH IN THE LAWS OF THE STATE, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, C.R.S. SECTION 29-1-301?

☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Official General Election Ballot
La Plata County, Colorado
Tuesday, November 06, 2018

Tiffany Parker
Clerk and Recorder

Precinct: 20
Ballot Style: 10


How to vote

Correct




- Use blue or black ink
- Completely fill the oval to the left of your choice

Incorrect



If you make a mistake



- Cross out the entire name or choice that you marked by mistake
- Completely fill another oval to the left of the correct choice

Optional write-in



- Completely fill the oval to the left of the words "Write-in"
- Then legibly print the name of an eligible write-in candidate on the line

- Do not vote for more candidates or choices than indicated for each ballot contest
- Do not sign or initial your ballot or mistakes
- Do not erase or white out mistakes
- You don't have to vote in every race or contest

WARNING: Any person who, by use of force or other means, unduly influences an eligible elector to vote in any particular manner or to refrain from voting, or who falsely makes, alters, forges, or counterfeits any mail ballot before or after it has been cast, or who destroys, defaces, mutilates, or tampers with a ballot is subject, upon conviction, to imprisonment, or to a fine, or both. Section 1-7.5-107(3)(b), C.R.S.

Federal Offices

Representative to the 116th United States Congress - District 3

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Scott R. Tipton Republican
- ☐ Diane Mitsch Bush Democratic
- ☐ Gaylon Kent Libertarian
- ☐ Mary M. Malarsie Independent
(Signed declaration to limit service to no more than 3 terms)
- ☐ Write-in

State Offices

Governor / Lieutenant Governor

(Vote for One Pair)

- ☐ Jared Polis / Dianne Primavera Democratic
- ☐ Walker Stapleton / Lang Sias Republican
- ☐ Bill Hammons / Eric Bodestab Unity
- ☐ Scott Helker / Michele Poague Libertarian

Secretary of State

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Wayne Williams Republican
- ☐ Jena Griswold Democratic
- ☐ Amanda Campbell American Constitution
- ☐ Blake Huber Approval Voting

State Treasurer

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Brian Watson Republican
- ☐ Dave Young Democratic
- ☐ Gerald F. Kilpatrick American Constitution

Attorney General

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Phil Weiser Democratic
- ☐ George Brauchler Republican
- ☐ William F. Robinson III Libertarian

Regent of the University of Colorado - At Large

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Lesley Smith Democratic
- ☐ Ken Montera Republican
- ☐ Christopher E. Otwell Unity
- ☐ James K. Treibert Libertarian

Regent of the University of Colorado - Congressional District 3

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Alvin Rivera Democratic
- ☐ Glen H. Gallegos Republican
- ☐ Michael Stapleton Libertarian

State Senator - District 6

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Guinn Unger Jr. Democratic
- ☐ Don Coram Republican

State Representative - District 59

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Barbara McLachlan Democratic
- ☐ Paul Jones Independent

County Offices

County Commissioner - District 1

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Clyde L. Church Democratic
- ☐ Brad Blake Republican

County Clerk and Recorder

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Tiffany Lee Parker Republican

County Treasurer

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Colton Black Republican
- ☐ Allison Aichele Democratic

County Assessor

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Carrie Woodson Democratic

County Sheriff

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Charles Hamby Republican
- ☐ Sean Smith Democratic
- ☐ Dean Mize Unaffiliated

County Surveyor

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Steven McCormack Republican

County Coroner

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Jann Smith Republican

Judicial Retention Questions

(Vote YES or NO)

Shall Justice Richard L. Gabriel of the Colorado Supreme Court be retained in office?

- ☐ YES ☐ NO

Shall Judge John Daniel Dailey of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?

- ☐ YES ☐ NO

Shall Judge Rebecca Rankin Freyre of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?

- ☐ YES ☐ NO

Shall Judge Elizabeth L. Harris of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?

- ☐ YES ☐ NO

Shall Judge David J. Richman of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?

- ☐ YES ☐ NO

Ballot Measures

Ballot questions referred by the general assembly or any political subdivision are listed by letter, and ballot questions initiated by the people are listed numerically. A ballot question listed as an "amendment" proposes a change to the Colorado constitution, and a ballot question listed as a "proposition" proposes a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes. A "yes/for" vote on any ballot question is a vote in favor of changing current law or existing circumstances, and a "no/against" vote on any ballot question is a vote against changing current law or existing circumstances.

State Measures

Amendment V (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a reduction in the age qualification for a member of the general assembly from twenty-five years to twenty-one years?

- ☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Amendment W (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a change in the format of the election ballot for judicial retention elections?

- ☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Amendment X (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning changing the industrial hemp definition from a constitutional definition to a statutory definition?

- ☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Amendment Y (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a change to the way that congressional districts are drawn, and, in connection therewith, taking the duty to draw congressional districts away from the state legislature and giving it to an independent commission, composed of twelve citizens who possess specified qualifications; prohibiting any one political party's control of the commission by requiring that one-third of commissioners will not be affiliated with any political party, one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's largest political party, and one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's second largest political party; prohibiting certain persons, including professional lobbyists, federal campaign committee employees, and federal, state, and local elected officials, from serving on the commission; limiting judicial review of a map to a determination by the supreme court of whether the commission or its nonpartisan staff committed an abuse of discretion; requiring the commission to draw districts with a focus on communities of interest and political subdivisions, such as cities and counties, and then to maximize the number of competitive congressional seats to the extent possible; and prohibiting maps from being drawn to dilute the electoral influence of any racial or ethnic group or to protect any incumbent, any political candidate, or any political party?

Amendment Z (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a change to the manner in which state senate and state house of representatives districts are drawn, and, in connection therewith, reforming the existing legislative reapportionment commission by expanding the commission to twelve members and authorizing the appointment of members who possess specified qualifications; prohibiting any one political party's control of the commission by requiring that one-third of commissioners will not be affiliated with any political party, one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's largest political party, and one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's second largest political party; prohibiting certain persons, including professional lobbyists, federal campaign committee employees, and federal, state, and local elected officials, from serving on the commission; limiting judicial review of a map to a determination by the supreme court of whether the commission or its nonpartisan staff committed an abuse of discretion; requiring the commission to draw state legislative districts using communities of interest as well as political subdivisions, such as cities and counties, and then to maximize the number of competitive state legislative seats to the extent possible; and prohibiting maps from being drawn to dilute the electoral influence of any racial or ethnic group or to protect any incumbent, any political candidate, or any political party?

Amendment A (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution that prohibits slavery and involuntary servitude as punishment for a crime and thereby prohibits slavery and involuntary servitude in all circumstances?

- ☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST

Amendment 73 (CONSTITUTIONAL)

SHALL STATE TAXES BE INCREASED \$1,600,000,000 ANNUALLY BY AN AMENDMENT TO THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION AND A CHANGE TO THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES CONCERNING FUNDING RELATING TO PRESCHOOL THROUGH HIGH SCHOOL PUBLIC EDUCATION, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, CREATING AN EXCEPTION TO THE SINGLE RATE STATE INCOME TAX FOR REVENUE THAT IS DEDICATED TO THE FUNDING OF PUBLIC SCHOOLS; INCREASING INCOME TAX RATES INCREMENTALLY FOR INDIVIDUALS, TRUSTS, AND ESTATES USING FOUR TAX BRACKETS STARTING AT .37% FOR INCOME ABOVE \$150,000 AND INCREASING TO 3.62% FOR INCOME ABOVE \$500,000; INCREASING THE CORPORATE INCOME TAX RATE BY 1.37%; FOR PURPOSES OF SCHOOL DISTRICT PROPERTY TAXES, REDUCING THE CURRENT RESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT RATE OF 7.2% TO 7.0% AND THE CURRENT NONRESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT RATE OF 29% TO 24%; REQUIRING THE REVENUE FROM THE INCOME TAX INCREASES TO BE DEPOSITED IN A DEDICATED PUBLIC EDUCATION FUND AND ALLOWING THE REVENUE COLLECTED TO BE RETAINED AND SPENT AS VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGES; REQUIRING THE LEGISLATURE TO ANNUALLY APPROPRIATE MONEY FROM THE FUND TO SCHOOL DISTRICTS TO SUPPORT EARLY CHILDHOOD THROUGH HIGH SCHOOL PUBLIC EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS ON AN EQUITABLE BASIS THROUGHOUT THE STATE WITHOUT DECREASING GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS; DIRECTING THE LEGISLATURE TO ENACT, REGULARLY REVIEW, AND REVISE WHEN NECESSARY, A NEW PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE LAW THAT MEETS SPECIFIED CRITERIA; UNTIL THE LEGISLATURE HAS ENACTED A NEW PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE LAW, REQUIRING THE MONEY IN THE FUND TO BE ANNUALLY APPROPRIATED FOR SPECIFIED EDUCATION PROGRAMS AND PURPOSES; REQUIRING THE MONEY IN THE FUND TO BE USED TO SUPPORT ONLY PUBLIC SCHOOLS; REQUIRING GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR PUBLIC EDUCATION TO INCREASE BY INFLATION, UP TO 5%, ANNUALLY; AND REQUIRING THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION TO COMMISSION A STUDY OF THE USE OF THE MONEY IN THE FUND WITHIN FIVE YEARS?

YES/FOR

NO/AGAINST

Amendment 74 (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution requiring the government to award just compensation to owners of private property when a government law or regulation reduces the fair market value of the property?

YES/FOR

NO/AGAINST

Amendment 75 (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution providing that if any candidate in a primary or general election for state office directs more than one million dollars in support of his or her own election, then every candidate for that office in the same election may accept five times the amount of campaign contributions normally allowed?

YES/FOR

NO/AGAINST

Proposition 109 (STATUTORY)

SHALL STATE DEBT BE INCREASED \$3,500,000,000, WITH A MAXIMUM REPAYMENT COST OF \$5,200,000,000, WITHOUT RAISING TAXES OR FEES, BY A CHANGE TO THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES REQUIRING THE ISSUANCE OF TRANSPORTATION REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, NOTE PROCEEDS SHALL BE RETAINED AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND USED EXCLUSIVELY TO FUND SPECIFIED ROAD AND BRIDGE EXPANSION, CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE, AND REPAIR PROJECTS THROUGHOUT THE STATE?

YES/FOR

NO/AGAINST

Proposition 110 (STATUTORY)

SHALL STATE TAXES BE INCREASED \$766,700,000 ANNUALLY FOR A TWENTY-YEAR PERIOD, AND STATE DEBT SHALL BE INCREASED \$6,000,000,000 WITH A MAXIMUM REPAYMENT COST OF \$9,400,000,000, TO PAY FOR STATE AND LOCAL TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, CHANGING THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES TO: 1) INCREASE THE STATE SALES AND USE TAX RATE BY 0.62% BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2019; REQUIRING 45% OF THE NEW REVENUE TO FUND STATE TRANSPORTATION SAFETY, MAINTENANCE, AND CONGESTION RELATED PROJECTS, 40% TO FUND MUNICIPAL AND COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS, AND 15% TO FUND MULTIMODAL TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS, INCLUDING BIKE, PEDESTRIAN, AND TRANSIT INFRASTRUCTURE; 2) AUTHORIZE THE ISSUANCE OF ADDITIONAL TRANSPORTATION REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES TO FUND PRIORITY STATE TRANSPORTATION MAINTENANCE AND CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS, INCLUDING MULTIMODAL CAPITAL PROJECTS; AND 3) PROVIDE THAT ALL REVENUE RESULTING FROM THE TAX RATE INCREASE AND PROCEEDS FROM ISSUANCE OF REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES ARE VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGES EXEMPT FROM ANY STATE OR LOCAL REVENUE, SPENDING, OR OTHER LIMITATIONS IN LAW?

YES/FOR

NO/AGAINST

Proposition 111 (STATUTORY)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning limitations on payday lenders, and, in connection therewith, reducing allowable charges on payday loans to an annual percentage rate of no more than thirty-six percent?

YES/FOR

NO/AGAINST

Proposition 112 (STATUTORY)

Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning a statewide minimum distance requirement for new oil and gas development, and, in connection therewith, changing existing distance requirements to require that any new oil and gas development be located at least 2,500 feet from any structure intended for human occupancy and any other area designated by the measure, the state, or a local government and authorizing the state or a local government to increase the minimum distance requirement?

YES/FOR

NO/AGAINST

Municipal Measures

Ballot Question 2B

Shall the operation and licensing of medical marijuana centers, retail marijuana stores, medical marijuana-infused products manufacturing facilities, retail marijuana products manufacturing facilities, retail and medical marijuana testing facilities, and marijuana research and development facilities be permitted in the town of Bayfield, Colorado in accordance with the requirements of Colorado law and Town of Bayfield Ordinance 417; and subject to approval of an occupational tax?

YES/FOR

NO/AGAINST

Ballot Issue 2C

SHALL THE TOWN OF BAYFIELD TAXES BE INCREASED BY \$100,000 IN 2019 (FIRST FULL FISCAL YEAR OF SUCH TAX INCREASE), AND BY WHATEVER ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS ARE RAISED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY IMPOSING, EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2019, A NEW OCCUPATION TAX ON THE OCCUPATION OF SELLING WITHIN THE TOWN RETAIL MARIJUANA AND RETAIL MARIJUANA PRODUCTS PERMITTED BY ARTICLE XVII, SECTION 16 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION (BUT NOT ON THE SALE OF MEDICAL MARIJUANA PURSUANT TO ARTICLE VIII, SECTION 14 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION), SUCH TAX TO BE IMPOSED AT A MAXIMUM RATE OF TEN DOLLARS PER SINGLE RETAIL TRANSACTION FOR THE SALE OF RETAIL MARIJUANA OR RETAIL MARIJUANA PRODUCTS WITHIN THE TOWN (WHICH TAX MAY BE ADJUSTED FROM TIME TO TIME BY THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES WITHOUT FURTHER ELECTIONS SO LONG AS IT DOES NOT EXCEED TEN DOLLARS PER RETAIL TRANSACTION) IN ACCORDANCE WITH ANY ORDINANCES HEREAFTER APPROVED BY THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES, PROVIDED THAT ANY SUCH TAX SHALL BE IMPOSED ONLY IF THE SALE OF RETAIL MARIJUANA IS PERMITTED WITHIN THE TOWN, AND NOTHING HEREIN SHALL BE CONSTRUED AS APPROVING THE SALE WITHIN THE TOWN OF RETAIL MARIJUANA OR RETAIL MARIJUANA PRODUCTS; AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF ANY SUCH TAXES AND INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE TOWN AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, AND WITHOUT LIMITING IN ANY YEAR THE AMOUNT OF OTHER REVENUES THAT MAY BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE TOWN?

YES/FOR

NO/AGAINST

Ballot Question 2D

Shall the town of Bayfield meet statutory publication requirements by publishing only the title of enacted ordinances rather than by publishing the full text of enacted ordinances notwithstanding the requirements of C.R.S. 31-16-105, substantially reducing the cost of publication?

YES/FOR

NO/AGAINST

Ballot Question 2E

Shall the town of Bayfield meet statutory publication requirements by publishing bills and statements of contracts on the town's website rather than a newspaper of general circulation published in the town, notwithstanding 31-20-202, substantially reducing the cost of publication?

YES/FOR

NO/AGAINST

Political Subdivision Measures

Ballot Issue 7A

SHALL THE UPPER PINE RIVER FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT AD VALOREM MILL LEVY BE INCREASED OR DECREASED ANNUALLY, COMMENCING IN 2019, TO ACCOUNT FOR ANY CHANGES IN LAW OR THE METHOD BY WHICH ASSESSED VALUATION IS CALCULATED FALLS BELOW THE RESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT RATE OF 7.2% OCCURRING IN 2018 OR THEREAFTER, SO THAT TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE, THE ACTUAL TAX REVENUES GENERATED BY THE MILL LEVY, AS ADJUSTED, ARE NEITHER DIMINISHED NOR ENHANCED AS A RESULT OF SUCH CHANGES; THE REVENUES FROM SUCH ADJUSTMENT TO BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE OF PAYING THE DISTRICT'S OPERATIONS, MAINTENANCE, AND OTHER EXPENSES, AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES AND INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE IN 2019 AND IN EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, OR SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES, AND WITHOUT LIMITING IN ANY YEAR THE AMOUNT OF OTHER REVENUES THAT MAY BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT?

YES/FOR

NO/AGAINST

Ballot Issue 6A

SHALL LA PLATA COUNTY PROPERTY TAXES FOR THE SUPPORT OF THE PINE RIVER PUBLIC LIBRARY DISTRICT BE INCREASED \$454,185 ANNUALLY IN 2019 (THE FIRST FULL FISCAL YEAR) AND BY SUCH AMOUNTS AS MAY BE GENERATED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY AN INCREASE IN THE TOTAL MILL LEVY TO 4.5 MILLS (AN INCREASE OF 2.0 MILLS FROM THE EXISTING MILL LEVY, PROVIDED THAT THE TOTAL MILL LEVY MAY BE ADJUSTED TO OFFSET REVENUE LOSSES FROM REFUNDS, ABATEMENTS AND CHANGES TO THE PERCENTAGE OF ACTUAL VALUATION USED TO DETERMINE ASSESSED VALUATION), IN ORDER TO MAINTAIN AND IMPROVE LIBRARY SERVICES, AND SHALL ALL REVENUES AND ANY EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT OF SUCH REVENUES BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND AN EXCEPTION TO THE LIMITS WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE APPLY UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER REVENUE LIMITATION OR RESTRICTION SET FORTH IN THE LAWS OF THE STATE, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, C.R.S. SECTION 29-1-301?

YES/FOR

NO/AGAINST

Please review and vote both sides of this ballot.

1 / 1